

Tree Damage from Chronic High Frequency Exposure

Mobile Telecommunications, Wi-Fi, Radar, Radio Relay Systems,
Terrestrial Radio, TV etc.



Dr.-Ing. Dipl.-Phys. Volker Schorpp

FIRST SYMPOSIUM

The effect of electromagnetic radiation on trees

The Groene Paviljoen, Baarn, 18.02.2011

www.puls-schlag.org

Today I have absolutely no remaining doubt that weak technical electromagnetic fields (EMF) are able to cause harm to living organisms

In my understanding it is not (it does not have to be) the weak energy of the EMF that causes damage directly. Instead, certain EMF-configurations are able to change the reaction coordinates of certain, often complex high energy reaction cascades between (quantum) states of biological molecules.

This means that the Energy of the EMF acts not like the energy of a missile or a bullet that may cause direct destruction. The EMF interacts rather like a weak (varying) deformation of the ground of a pinball machine that may lead to a completely different result of the game (i.e. the ball may take completely different trajectories in the game).

Plants are particularly good for studying biological effects of technical EMF

- They are fixed in place (they can not move)
- They do not imagine something (they are not afraid)
- The coupling of the EMF in many important organs is nearly undamped (nearly not attenuated).

Many living cells and organs are working directly under the surface. Leaves and needles are thin compared to the penetration depth of technical EMF.

The coupling of the EMF in many important organs is nearly undamped

22.05.2007

leave margin

cambium


Some important facts

Liquid water is a broadband antenna

Liquid water is able to receive and to transmit electromagnetic waves in a large range of frequencies.

Simple water beams were used very early as receiving and transmitting antennas for radio waves.

German textbook from 1916
Dr. J. Zenneck cites R.A.
Fessenden, a Pioneer in
radio communications



Trees are High Frequency Antennas

Trees receive the High Frequency (HF) with their leaves, twigs and branches and conduct it through the trunk towards the ground (while the signal is being damped). Therefore trees are working likely as a “HF-arrester”.

Trees act as a sink for HF-radiation and therefore trees are changing the HF-field characteristics (HF-field configurations) themselves in their surroundings.

Trees are High Frequency Antennas

→ Easy Experiment

Example:

Receiving radio waves

<http://www.puls-schlag.org/download/tree-radioantenna01.mpg>

Tree Study published first in 2007

Open air observation study, German language

High Frequency Transmitters cause Tree Damage

A conclusive system of strong indications demonstrate a causal relation between tree damage and chronic High Frequency exposure

Download

Tree Study

www.puls-schlag.org/download/Baumstudie-02-2007-high.pdf

Documentary evidence (PowerPoint slides of the lecture)

www.puls-schlag.org/download/Schorpp-StPeter-20070929-online200dpi.pdf



Indications

indicate or contraindicate a **(causal) relation** between events

The significance of an indication in general is valued with a number between 0 and 1, e.g.

0,0 → no indication

0,02 → very weak indication

0,4 → weak indication

0,9 → strong indication

0,98 → very strong indication

1,0 → absolute proof

Indication values in this study:

0	no indication	?	no appraisal possible
+	very weak indication	-	very weak contraindication
++	weak indication	--	weak contraindication
+++	strong indication	---	strong contraindication
++++	very strong indication	----	very strong contraindication
1	absolute proof	-1	absolute disproof

Most important results

(so far)

Spatially inhomogeneous HF-field distributions cause spatially inhomogeneous damage structures

(especially in deciduous trees)

Today the real HF-field distribution is not uniform within a given space due to the interference of different HF-fields from a very great number of HF-transmitters. The real HF-field distribution is spatially inhomogeneous.


In the built-up environment the interaction between radiation and buildings – reflection*, diffraction* and shading* – leads to much more HF-field inhomogeneities (much less uniformity within the field) and therefore to areas with “turbulent” fields.

* Important physical effects regarding tree damage!


Reflections and diffractions → turbulent fields → more tree damage

Shading → shielding effect → less tree damage



Radiation Pattern of a Sector Antenna



Radiation of a 20 m tall sector antenna for mobile communication (GSM 900 MHz) with an effective radiated power (ERP) of 1000 Watt (Distances in Meters)




Radiation Pattern of a Point-to-point Transmission System



Electrical Field Strength in V/m

Not only the field strength (intensity) is spatially inhomogeneous

Due to the different interferences HF-



are all spatially inhomogeneous. This leads to an enormous number of different (but stationary) HF-field configurations (HF-field characteristics) in the environment which have different effects on different trees and other living organisms.

The field strength is not the (only) decisive factor relating to the tree damage!

Therefore the most severe damage must not (necessarily) be expected in the area of the greatest field strength.

Some examples* of spatially inhomogeneous damage structures

Here especially at deciduous trees

* There are millions of examples in Europe today

Munich, 13.09.2006


spatially inhomogeneous tree damage



Federal Office for Radiation Protection

**spatially inhomogeneous
tree damage**

maple tree



27.09.2006 **spatially inhomogeneous tree damage**



Photo: J. Gutbier

spatially inhomogeneous tree damage

Herrenberg 05.09.2007

reflection interference

sheet of iron



V. Schorpp

PULS-SCHLAG e.V. Karlsruhe

www.puls-schlag.org

Karlsruhe, 10.09.2006

Bizarre High Frequency Damage



V. Schorpp

PULS-SCHLAG e.V. Karlsruhe

www.puls-schlag.org

Hungary, 06.07.2009

**spatially
inhomogeneous
tree damage**



Hungary, 06.07.2009



France, 14.09.2009

lime tree

**spatially
inhomogeneous
tree damage**



Hungary, 10.07.2009

**spatially inhomogeneous
tree damage**



Hungary, 10.07.2009

KAPUBEJÁRÓ
KÉRJÜK
SZABADON
HAGYNI!

SAS U
2 SZ

SZÁVÓ ÜGYVEDI IRODA
Dr. Szávó Anita

typical leaf margin necrosis caused by HF

Spatially inhomogeneous tree damage

Indication	HF	Drought, Heat	Acid rain	Air Pollutants	Pests
global <u>and</u> new types <u>and</u> most manifold spatially inhomogeneous tree damage	+++	--(-)	--(-)	--(-)	--(-)
temporal correlation	+(+)	-	-	-	-
total indication	++++	---	---	---	---

The combination of global and new and most manifold spatially inhomogeneous tree damages is a strong indication (+++) for a causal relationship between tree damage and HF and at the same time a strong contraindication against all other classical explanations.

The fact, that this kind of damage initially appeared intensively just after the installation of mobile networks (→ temporal correlation), increases the indication value to “very strong” (++++).

Mutual Protection Effect

Trees actually protect each other but do not infect each other

Due to the fact that the first and the biggest trees receive (a part of) the High Frequency at best and conduct it towards the ground (HF-arrester) they protect the trees and parts of trees behind and below them.

This important effect leads to transmitter facing damage* which is often noticeable, i.e. it originates on the side facing the source of the radiation and expands in the direction of the radiation. This effect also indicates that it is an exogenous impact that causes the damage.

* In general and physical more exactly: The damage is facing the interference field

13.09.2006

How can we explain such damage structures?




HF-Transmitter



11.07.2007

transmitter facing damage

HF



Behind the tree island there are damaged trees again

13.09.2006



Explanatory Model:

The radiation from the transmitter is (strongly) diffracted around the tree island ("like a flow of water"). Therefore the diffracted radiation interferes behind the tree island and causes a more turbulent field in this area. Hence there are damaged trees again.

Mutual Protecting Effect and Transmitter Facing* Tree Damage

Indication	HF	Drought, Heat	Acid rain	Air Pollutants	Pests
mutual protecting effect <u>and</u> transmitter facing damage*	+++ (+)	---	---	---	---

This physically linked indication-duo is a strong indication for a causal relationship between tree damage and HF and at the same time a strong contraindication against all classical explanations.

* In general and physical more exactly: The damage is facing the interference field

Buildings have great effects on the damage structures

The interaction between radiation and buildings (materials and geometry) have great effects on the tree damage.

Shading: Large walls and houses are able to shadow the radiation and thus shield trees. A less turbulent field behind a large wall (or in a inner courtyard) leads to less tree damage → **protective effect**

Reflection: Metallic surfaces are strongly reflecting the radiation (e.g. metal coated window glass, aluminum foil in roofs, metal fabric in facades etc.)

Diffraction: The radiation is diffracted by roof ridges, edges and openings of walls and buildings

Both effects – reflection and diffraction – generate areas and swathes with turbulent interference fields and more tree damage in these areas
→ **reinforcing effect**

Effects of buildings on the tree damage

Indication	HF	Drought, Heat	Acid rain	Air Pollutants	Pests
less damage by shading the radiation <u>and</u> more damage by reflecting and diffracting the radiation	++++	---	---	---	---

The effects of buildings are a very strong indication for a causal relationship between HF and tree damage and at the same time a strong contraindication against all classical explanations.

To understand the manifold real open air damages

HF-transmitters and buildings are stationary (they do not move). The interference of the HF-fields from a great number of HF-transmitters and the interaction of the radiation with topography and buildings cause any number of spatially inhomogeneous HF-field configurations.


In this way stationary damaging areas and swathes occur in the environment. Since the trees are fixed in place some are more and some are less affected, depending on their position. Sometimes just a (small) part of a tree is affected.

Not every type of HF exposure (HF-field configuration) is affecting every kind of tree in the same way. Different tree species show different reactions on different field configurations.

The field strength is not the (only) decisive factor relating to the tree damage! Under special conditions extremely weak HF-field components may lead to a damaging interference – long-distance effect – while other much stronger components (close to a HF-transmitter) show less or no effect.

As an illustration:

Areas of turbulence are fixed in space as long as the river bed and the flow of water remain unchanged



More Examples and Explanations

The Three Lime Trees

**Could you think of any better way
for the trees to point to the cause
for their disease?**

08.09.2006

Exposed lime tree


HF-transmitter

roof ridge diffracting the radiation

Shielded
lime tree

27.09.2006

HF-transmitter



08.10.2006

HF-transmitter

roof ridge diffracting the radiation



20.10.2006

exposed lime tree **HF-transmitter**


roof ridge diffracting the radiation

shielded
lime tree

06.11.2006


HF-transmitter

roof ridge diffracting the radiation



Three lime trees under three different high frequency exposure conditions exhibit different spatial damage structures with different temporal sequences.

06.11.2006



16.11.2006

Totally exposed tree:
Totally pathological leaf wilt

HF-transmitter

Shielded tree:
Healthy spatially homogeneous leaf wilt

Exposed tree top:
Pathological leaf wilt
just in the tree top



Explanatory Model for the Timeline Sequence „The Three Lime Trees“

Mainly due to their position within the built-up environment, the three lime trees are exposed in different ways. They exhibit spatially different damage structures with different damage timelines. The exposure conditions in this case are simple and straightforward for anyone familiar with the propagation of high frequency radiation: The radiation is diffracted (bent downwards) by the roof ridge of the light blue house. Lime tree number 1 (left) has the highest position. Its transmitter facing side suffers full height exposure to the interference of the diffracted radiation. Therefore the damage begins at the transmitter facing side and expands exactly in the direction of the diffracted radiation – the sequence of the damage shows the diffraction angle. The smaller lime tree number 2 has the lowest position and is shielded by the buildings. The propagation path of the diffracted radiation goes straight past its tree top. This tree does not exhibit any transmitter facing damage and sheds its leaves (yellow, soft, elastic leaves) only in mid-November and with a regular pattern of wilting. Due to the buildings only the top of lime tree number 3 is exposed to the interference of the diffracted radiation, while the lower part of the tree is shielded. Therefore this tree exhibits damage just in its tree top, expressed by the unnatural premature (pathological) “wilting” of the leaves.

The White Chestnut

Where is the transmitter ?

From which direction
comes the radiation-
interference?

White Horse Chestnut



Karlsruhe, 10.09.2006

Karlsruhe, 10.09.2006

Why would any pest attack trees just at that side where they are exposed to an HF-interference field?

Panorama Shot

HF-Transmitter




Panorama Shot

HF-Transmitter



2 months later

Karlsruhe, 01.11.2006



Another 3 weeks later

Karlsruhe, 24.04.2007

completely new healthy leaves → a strong indication against draught
The April 2007 was the driest April in Karlsruhe ever measured




next spring (2007)



Karlsruhe, 24.06.2007

visible beginning of typical leaf margin necrosis caused by HF

Karlsruhe, 24.06.2007



**tree backside,
not yet damaged**

**tree side facing the interference,
damaged**

Same procedure as every year effect

As long as the trees and branches are alive and the transmitters are not changed and the buildings are not changed, every year nearly the same damage pattern and damage time course will appear.

But just one further installed transmitter or a (slight) turning of a transmitter or a changing of the signal characteristic of a transmitter may change the situation significantly.

If a tree or a part of a tree is getting sick and branches and leaves are getting dry, the HF-field configuration in this area changes. Because dry wood and dry leaves are no HF antenna and nearly transparent for the radiation (nearly no interaction with the radiation).

Comments to the white chestnut

Already in summer, the large white horse chestnut tree exhibits damage at the side of the radiation-interference in the form of brown coloured leaves which later fall off. The damage grows in the direction of the radiation-interference until the tree is completely bare.

The browning of the leaves is not patchy as it would be due to an infestation of horse chestnut leaf-miner (*cameraria ohridella*), but instead it starts at the edge of the leaves and expands towards the leaf stems. The leaves appear to be literally “burned” at their margin → typical leaf margin necrosis caused by HF.

The trees behind the tall building, which are more protected from HF exposure, exhibit a later and spatially more homogenous wilting behaviour.

Experiment

A well known strongly HF affected white chestnut tree

cutting holes without
hurting the vessels

09.05.2008



no necroses appear at the rim of the holes till the leaf margin necroses grow inward and touch the holes

20.06.2008

Comments to the leaf margin necrosis

A leaf is very thin and has a sharp leaf margin. Due to this geometry HF likes mainly to couple into (and out of) the leaf margin (HF tip effect*).

Therefore the result of the experiment suggests that the leaf margin necroses is primarily not a result of any pest.

The dry (necrotic) edge of the damaged leaves has nearly no interaction with the radiation. Therefore it is the green, “effective electromagnetic edge” that moves gradually to the leave stem.

Thesis: In my (present) understanding the necroses may be caused by a real kind of “burning” whereas the destroying energy is supplied by the sunlight, which the leaves originally want to catch and correctly built into their molecules. However, particular HF-field configurations are able to change some bio-molecular reaction coordinates, hence the strong quantum energy of the sunlight gets into the wrong molecule states.

* HF also likes to couple into (and out of) the (tip of) thin twigs.

Inhomogeneous (bizarre) growth at different coniferous species.

22.09.2009

22.09.2009

healthy straight homogeneous growth (protected trees)

27.07.2009



beginning of deformed growth

05.10.2009

unnaturally
inhomogeneous
bizarre growth



The very early important results of I. Brauer* and C. Harte* could explain this deformed inhomogeneous growth in conifers in our EMF contaminated environment

*Forest Botanic Institute of Freiburg together with the Fraunhofer Institute of Freiburg, Germany

They found a significant influence of HF radiation ($f = 200 \text{ MHz}$) on the cell nucleus division frequency (at root tips of *vicia faba*) even beginning at a field strength of $0,0001 \text{ V/m}$ ($0,03 \text{ nW/m}^2$) up to $1,6 \text{ V/m}$

Harte also proved a lot of HF induced mutations and investigated them for decades. She observed the development of the mutated plants for several plant generations. She also proved HF induced mutations in the free area with the help of the North German Broadcasting Corporation.

C. Harte has documented und published her results very exactly in several scientific articles from 1949 to 1973. But today they seem to be forgotten.

How to protect small free area trees from technical HF

How can we protect small trees and compare their development with unprotected trees?

HF-Transmitter



Millions of trees (here mammoths) are getting sick


HF-Transmitter



Loosely stacked lime-sand brick walls $h = 6\text{m}$ (up to 10 m)



Tree HF-protection



A solid foundation needs to be provided for the walls. The trees should not be shadowed from the sunlight, but from the HF. The stones of the walls are reusable after the experiment.

I look for somebody to realize this experiment with different kinds of small trees ($h < 6 \text{ m}$)

Thank You

www.puls-schlag.org